

Village of Weston, Wisconsin
OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS OF THE VILLAGE PLAN COMMISSION MEETING
held on Monday, January 12, 2026, at 6:00 p.m., in the Board Room, at 4747 Camp Phillips Road

AGENDA ITEMS.

1. Meeting called to order by Plan Commission Chair and Village Trustee Steve Cronin at approximately 6:05 p.m. Roll Call of Village Plan Commission (PC) by Secretary Parker.

Roll call indicated 6 PC members present.

<u>Member</u>	<u>Present</u>
Cronin, Steve {Chair}	YES
Zeyghami, Hooshang {Vice Chair}	YES
Diesen, Dave	ABSENT
Guerndt, Gary	YES
Hoffman, Travis	YES
Jordan, Joe	YES
Mumper, Roy	YES

Village Staff in attendance, in-person: Gebert, Higgins, Wodalski, Maguire, Webster, Meverden, and Parker

Village Staff in attendance, via Zoom: None

Other Village Representatives in attendance, in-person: Town of Weston Chairman, David Phelps, and Fire Marshal, Marty Christiansen

Other Village Representatives in attendance, via Zoom: None

Audience Members in attendance, in-person: There were 6 audience members present, in-person.

Audience Members in attendance, via Zoom: There were no audience members present, via Zoom.

2. Open Public Comment Period for items that do not appear on this agenda noted as public hearings. [0:00:40 Zoom Recording]

Jim Pinsonneault, 5002 Arrow Street, made public comment regarding salt storage shed inspections/regulations and on the Aspen Street Detached Structure agenda item.

3. Written Communications, Disclosures, and Recusals. [0:02:59 Zoom Meeting Recording]

Hoffman stated that he will need to recuse himself from Agenda Item #5.

4. Minutes from December 8, 2025, PC Meeting. [0:04:34 Zoom Recording]

Motion by Zeyghami, second by Mumper: to approve the December 8, 2025, PC meeting minutes.

Yes Vote: 6 No Votes: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Voting: 1 Result: PASS

<u>Member (PC)</u>	<u>Voting</u>
Cronin, Steve {Chair}	YES
Zeyghami, Hooshang {Vice Chair}	YES
Diesen, Dave	-----
Guerndt, Gary	YES
Hoffman, Travis	YES
Jordan, Joe	YES
Mumper, Roy	YES

Hoffman stepped into the audience at this time.

5. Public Hearing – Project #20250242 – Amendment to Approved Conditional Use Permit #20250242 for Turf MDs, 5605 Mesker Street [0:04:54 Zoom Meeting Recording]

a. Open Public Hearing.

Cronin opened the public hearing at 6:09 p.m.

b. Presentation by Applicant and/or Staff

Higgins explained that back in September the Plan Commission approved the CUP, along with conditions related to the proposed salt storage bin. This CUP was recorded with the Marathon County Register of Deeds. Since, the applicant has chosen not to install the salt storage bin, an amendment to the already approved/recorded CUP is required to modify the approved site plan to remove the salt storage bin. She stated they are still required to operate under a CUP due to the landscaping operations. She stated that our code provides 2 years to complete the site plan project, and if they do not complete the salt storage shed within that timeframe, their original CUP would become null and void and they would be required to cease operations. This amendment takes care of that.

Hoffman was present in audience to answer any questions.

c. Take Public Comment

Pinsonneault stated he is in favor of all of these CUP's and their amendments as proposed. He stated he supports the businesses. He questioned why the applicant is being required to amend the permit, versus just modifying it, such as the others tonight. He stated he is unable to find this amendment application in Evolve and questioned if the applicant paid for their application. He brought up the steps he had to take when filing an amendment to his own CUP, for his new business location, and having to pay a fee.

d. Close Public Hearing

Cronin closed the public hearing at 6:15 p.m.

f. Discussion & Action by the Plan Commission

Guerndt questioned Higgins what the difference between this and Pinsonneault's.

Higgins explained how the CUP's are submitted as a "project" in Evolve. She stated each project has stages, and on 12/19/2025, there was a CUP application amendment to CUP created. She stated this is in "under review" status as the application is still under review – before the Plan Commission. She stated this application has been paid for. She then clarified that everything on tonight's agenda has been paid for. Guerndt confirmed that staff is following the same protocol as they did for Pinsonneault's project.

Jordan questioned the restriction of "no loading and unloading in the front yard" within this CUP. Hoffman stated that what was happening was the mowing operations crew of TurfMD's was loading and unloading their equipment in the front parking area of the property, to lessen congestion in the year yard, due to other crews loading and unloading. He stated the residential tenants across the road filed noise complaints (from lawn mowers running prior to 8am. He stated they now do all loading in the back, without any problems.

Plan Commission then went through Determination, and answered as follows:

1 – Yes

2 – Yes

3 – Yes

4 – Yes
5 – Yes

Motion by Guerndt, second by Jordan: to recommend approval of Conditional Use Permit #20250242, at 5605 Mesker Street. Q: Guerndt questioned if he needed to amend his motion to include the new conditions.

Motion by Guerndt, second by Jordan: to amend the original motion to include the draft Conditional Use Permit conditions that were included (#1 – 7, pg. 26 of meeting packet).

Yes Vote: 5 No Votes: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Voting: 1 Result: PASS

<u>Member (PC)</u>	<u>Voting</u>
Cronin, Steve {Chair}	YES
Zeyghami, Hooshang {Vice Chair}	YES
Diesen, Dave	-----
Guerndt, Gary	YES
Hoffman, Travis	ABSTAIN
Jordan, Joe	YES
Mumper, Roy	YES

Roll call vote on the original Motion:

Yes Vote: 5 No Votes: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Voting: 1 Result: PASS

<u>Member (PC)</u>	<u>Voting</u>
Cronin, Steve {Chair}	YES
Zeyghami, Hooshang {Vice Chair}	YES
Diesen, Dave	-----
Guerndt, Gary	YES
Hoffman, Travis	ABSTAIN
Jordan, Joe	YES
Mumper, Roy	YES

At 6:22 p.m., Hoffman returned to the Plan Commission.

6. Public Hearing – Project #20250439 – Modification to Approved Conditional Use Permit #20250439 for Riverview Construction, 4801 & 4803 Ross Avenue [0:17:52 Zoom Meeting Recording]

a. Open Public Hearing.

Cronin opened the public hearing at 6:23 p.m.

b. Presentation by Applicant and/or Staff

Higgins explained that this request is to modify the CUP that was approved for Riverview Construction, in December. Higgins gave the background, as provided in report, stating how while this was approved, the CUP was not signed or recorded yet, as of the time that it was discovered that the PC requirement of a 10-foot setback from the front of the salt bin would likely result in ongoing compliance and enforcement challenges during operations, due to the depth of the existing structure. She stated that the applicant is intending to make site improvements in the spring by re-asphalting the salt storage area and reinstalling the originally planned curbing to better contain the salt.

Higgins stated staff recommends approval of the modified CUP subject to the conditions outlined in the Determination document and draft CUP. She explained that the draft that is in tonight's packet would supersede and modify the previous action that the Plan Commission took.

She explained that she chose not to record the CUP, due to shortly after the December PC approval, it being discovered that that PC had approved would not have worked. This is when she, Maguire, and Meverden met with Nick Bancuk, of MTS, Scott Habeck, the property owner, and a representative of Riverview. She reiterated that the reason this CUP is coming back as a modification (not an amendment) is because it has not been recorded yet.

Mumper brought up the WI Supreme Courts decision, as it relates to zoning and how it applies to conditional use permits, regarding a hospital up by Minocqua. He stated that from what he understood is if a project is legally permitted, zoned effectively, and meets the performance standards requirements that we can't deny the request. The opponents would have to show legitimate proof of a hardship. Higgins referred to the landowners' bill of rights.

Guerndt confirmed the owner is requesting again to add back in the plans for that blacktop hump.

Zeyghami questioned how much they will be paving in the front. It was explained it is currently paved now, and they will be repairing the existing pavement. Zeyghami pointed out the poor condition of the pavement along with the amount of salt that is outside of the roofline.

Nick Bancuk, 1699 Schofield Avenue. He explained the planned pavement, which includes inside of the salt shed along with the drive area up to the Old Castle Glass property line. He also pointed out how the salt shed is only 23 feet deep, where if they were required to keep the salt 10 feet from the front of the shed, they lose over half of the capacity of the salt.

c. Take Public Comment

Pinsonneault stated to Mumper that State Statues states that Wisconsin is a "shall issue" State for CUP's (he referred to the costs he incurred for his CUP's). – the current condition of the site does not meet current requirements. He stated that just because their CUP is approved does not mean it currently meets the specifications set forth by Plan Commission. Pinsonneault questioned why he can't see an "Amendment" filed in Evolve, and questioned why we are treating this one differently than the previous one on the agenda. He stated that staff has been aware that this facility has been storing salt in this facility in a commercial capacity, in a wellhead zone. He pointed out that he filed two complaints on this property earlier this year, how both have been closed stating no violation found. He questioned when staff went to meet with representatives on site if there any violations noted or any enforcement performed. He stated how he supports this business, but how Riverview is being allowed to continue to operate in a non-conforming manner.

Bill Kriewaldt, 5005 Arrow Street, stated how the Riverview property looks like a dump with all the storage containers. He brought up how he can't have storage containers on his property, why is it okay to stack them up here. He stated if Habeck is not willing to make improvements to clean this up that the CUP should not be approved.

d. Close Public Hearing

Cronin closed the public hearing at 6:39 p.m.

e. Discussion & Action by the Plan Commission

Maguire stated when he was on site, all of the salt was contained within the shed. Maguire went through the photos that were included in the packet.

Cronin stated to replace the asphalt in the spring (when American Asphalt opens back up) and add that (originally planned) paved curb back in to avoid the 10-foot restriction, and repave the exterior as well.

Guerndt stated he did not realize how narrow this structure is during the last meeting, and agrees the curb will be the best way to contain it. He stated we can't really do a whole lot at the moment as you can't pave in the winter time. Guerndt pointed out how we have gravel roads that get salted and sanded, he stated we even have paved roads in Weston that are pretty cracked up. He stated he understands that this is in the Wellhead, and how we are trying to treat this as best we can with what we have to protect our water supply long term.

Mumper commented that there is a reason why the salt shed inspection is on our agenda. He stated how Pinsonneault is stating he wants to see the business run, but then at the same time Pinsonneault wants us to shut them down, how it is not compatible.

Guerndt commented on how there is a moratorium on salt right now at the dock in Green Bay (and all other docks), where businesses have to look several states over (Arkansas) for supply. He stated we are trying to get through the resolution for this and do the best that we can to enforce what we can with the circumstances on hand. He pointed out how we have discussed how we can make sure this is further rectified and make sure someone is following up on this, which we will be discussing later tonight on how to implement that.

Zeyghami pointed out how in the pictures the salt is outside of the canopy and how they are going to maintain that. Maguire stated they are using a skid steer with an attached broom. Maguire stated the pictures were from prior to staff meeting on site, and when they met with them on site, it was cleaned up.

The Commission went through the Determination:

1. Yes
2. Yes
3. Yes
4. Yes
5. Yes

Motion by Mumper, second by Guerndt: to recommend approval of the modification of Conditional use Permit #20250439, as presented, allowing Riverview Construction, to have a salt storage shed within the WHP-A (Wellhead Protection – Zone A) Overlay District.

Yes Vote: 6 No Votes: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Voting: 1 Result: PASS

<u>Member (PC)</u>	<u>Voting</u>
Cronin, Steve {Chair}	YES
Zeyghami, Hooshang {Vice Chair}	YES
Diesen, Dave	-----
Guerndt, Gary	YES
Hoffman, Travis	YES
Jordan, Joe	YES
Mumper, Roy	YES

7. Public Hearing – Project #20230083 – Amendment to Approved Conditional Use Permit #20230083 for Stainless Holdings, LLC, 4305 Transport Way [0:44:15 Zoom Meeting Recording]

a. Open Public Hearing.

Cronin opened the public hearing at 6:48 p.m.

b. Presentation by Applicant and/or Staff

Higgins stated this is for Conditional Use Permit Amendment #2, for their personal storage facility. She then explained the history of this project (from her report in the packet).

Higgins stated they changed from pole lights to building-mounted lights, since this is not what was previously approved. She read the conditions of this current draft amendment. She clarified this is an “amendment” as there were two prior recorded CUP’s on this.

Josh Weiseman, 4305 Transport Way, was present to answer questions.

c. Take Public Comment

Pinsonneault stated that he has been past this property a few times. He asked if the applicant was charged a \$250.00 fee or not, as it does not show on the public side of Evolve. Higgins answered yes.

Pinsonneault asked if staff actually went out there at night to measure the lumens to ensure those are what is shown on the plan.

d. Close Public Hearing

Cronin closed the public hearing at 6:54 p.m.

e. Discussion & Action by the Plan Commission

Maguire explained stated that when they were doing the close-out inspection, it was noted that there were some differences between what was completed and what was on the plans (as far as lighting). He stated he worked with Weiseman to get the appropriate lighting cut sheets. He stated when he was on site, at 5:00pm at night, taking light meter readings, it did not match what was originally shown. Weiseman had worked with a few different vendors then to get the lighting within a couple foot candles of the plans.

The Commission went through the Determination:

1. Yes
2. Yes
3. Yes
4. Yes
5. Yes

Motion by Guerndt, second by Zeyghami: to recommend approval of Amendment #2 to Conditional Use Permit #20230083 for Stainless Holdings, LLC, at 4305 Transport Way, to amend the originally approved sight lighting plan, subject to the conditions outlined in the Determination document.

Yes Vote: 6 No Votes: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Voting: 1 Result: PASS

<u>Member (PC)</u>	<u>Voting</u>
Cronin, Steve {Chair}	YES
Zeyghami, Hooshang {Vice Chair}	YES
Diesen, Dave	-----
Guerndt, Gary	YES
Hoffman, Travis	YES
Jordan, Joe	YES
Mumper, Roy	YES

8. Site Plan Approval per Sec. 94.4.09(2)(1) for Detached Accessory Structure (for Residential Use) at 5107 Aspen Street [1:03:10 Zoom Meeting Recording]

Maguire explained the project, as provided in the staff report. He stated this does not require Conditional Use Permit, just Plan Commission approval, because they are proposing this structure between the front of the principal structure and the right-of-way.

Mumper questioned if this meets all other regulations, other than setbacks. Maguire stated once this structure is fixed in its permanent location, it will meet code, as far as setbacks and required minimum wind load. He showed an illustration which had options for locations that it would be allowed to go. Until the approved location is figured, we can't proceed with permitting this shed to be permanently installed. Maguire pointed out that the management is okay to moving the structure to any of the alternative locations shown on a map in the packet.

Sam Kopela, 5107 Aspen Street, Site Manager, was present stating he just needs to know what he has to do, and when he needs to move the shed.

Guerndt stated if owner is willing to relocate to other locations in the spring. He would ask to move in spring.

Motion by Guerndt, second by Mumper: that if the owner is willing to relocate the structure to any of the approved locations (as provided by staff), and the structure is then anchored, and compliant with meeting wind loads/snow loads, etc., that he would allow them temporarily leave the structure in its current location, to then be move in the spring. Question – Cronin questioned if we needed to set a deadline for the structure to be moved?

Motion by Guerndt, second by Mumper: to amend the original motion to add that the structure be moved to an approved location by May 15, 2026, weather permitting.

Yes Vote: 6 No Votes: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Voting: 1 Result: PASS

<u>Member (PC)</u>	<u>Voting</u>
Cronin, Steve {Chair}	YES
Zeyghami, Hooshang {Vice Chair}	YES
Diesen, Dave	-----
Guerndt, Gary	YES
Hoffman, Travis	YES
Jordan, Joe	YES
Mumper, Roy	YES

Roll call vote on the original Motion:

Yes Vote: 6 No Votes: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Voting: 1 Result: PASS

<u>Member (PC)</u>	<u>Voting</u>
Cronin, Steve {Chair}	YES
Zeyghami, Hooshang {Vice Chair}	YES
Diesen, Dave	-----
Guerndt, Gary	YES
Hoffman, Travis	YES
Jordan, Joe	YES
Mumper, Roy	YES

9. Discussion of an Internal Inspection Process for Conditional use Permits in a Wellhead Protection Zone [1:09:07

Zoom Meeting Recording]

Higgins stated a request came through by Plan Commission last month to discuss this. She stated that currently, the only salt storage sheds, within our wellhead protection zone are: Kudronowicz Lawn Care on Hilgemann Street, and River View Construction on Ross Avenue. She then provided more background as explained in her report, within the packet.

Hoffman stated the reason why Turf MD's opted not to do the salt shed at their location is because their contract with REI to do the study was going to cost them over \$5,000 and how the DNR got involved in the discussions too. Turf MD's felt it was too much red tape along with the potential financial impact on the business, so they opted to find a different offsite location for their salt storage.

Wodalski explained that the requirement of the study depends on the proximity the salt storage shed is from our public wells. There were questions about Todd Schroeder's property on Jamar Street, and whether he has submitted an environmental Impact Study? Wodalski stated that Schroeder's operation there has been there since the old code, where the restrictions were not as much.

Mumper commented that at his business, they used to have an underground storage tank. He stated he no longer has it because people became more aware of potential gas leaks that could contaminate the environment, and the municipality made their rules stricter and stricter along with adding more enforcement, codes, and requirements, that he eventually decided to just do away with it.

Guerndt questioned if staff could meet with Schroeder and discuss this with him, since his location is so very close to a public well. He stated how times have changed and we are all becoming more and more aware of what can contaminate the grounds. He would like to see staff meet with Schroeder to see if there is anything we can do to make is site at least somewhat compliant.

It was discussed that we should require the inspections to all salt storage sheds, but that those which are within the 1,200 feet of a public well would also be subject to submitting an environmental impact study.

Mumper suggested staff start to compile a list of all the salt shed locations in Weston and find out what other communities are doing, and if there is a difference on what is done based on if a property is inside or outside of a wellhead.

Wodalski stated that the WI DOT inspects the Village's salt shed annually in the summer.

Zeyghami stated that prior to any inspections, the salt should be moved out of the shed, so that we can inspect the floor area for cracks, etc.

It was also suggested to find out if we can enforce this on properties that have existed prior to the new code, and who do not have CUP's. The members agree to hold inspections in June and on an annual basis.

Wodalski stated the State does not make us remove the material prior to inspection. Maguire stated that in the summer River View stores mulch in the bin. It was stated that with the inspections, they are making sure that the overall structure is good, and the pad and apron are good, and everything is draining away as it should. Wodalski stated the amount of salt left in the bin from the previous winter, during inspection has to do with what the previous winter was like.

It was stated as far as enforcement, we would send a written notice for what needs to be fixed, similar to other code violations. We would provide a copy of the inspection report and then work with them on deadlines for getting issues fixed before the next winter season begins.

Guendt stated this can be tiered (as far as how we would handle these) based on the condition:

- 1) There is an issue and it needs to get fixed (normal wear and tear)
- 2) Something serious like the roof being half gone (egregious condition)

Cronin suggested having staff bring back something on how they would handle these, but with the caveat that some issues need to be handled immediately, versus later.

Maguire suggested we do perform a structural inspection in the summer months and an unannounced, operational inspection in winter months.

Mumper would like to know what other municipalities are doing. Zeyghami stated if this is in the DNR code, we could check the DNR Administrative Code and see what the requirement is, and we can do ours similarly or modify it to fit the situation.

Cronin questioned the requirement of doors. He stated we required Kudronowicz to add a door. Hoffman stated doors would help protect their investment. Cronin stated the DOT form does not require a door. Wodalski brought up that Kudronowicz's shed faces the west, where Riverview's faces the south.

Higgins stated should discuss #7, as to how we want to formalize this process - through Conditional Use Permit in the Zoning Code or through Administrative Policy.

It was stated that if this is done via Conditional Use Permit, then we could tie it to the requirements of inspections. Higgins stated if we put this into the Zoning Code, then it would only apply to new ones. It was stated staff may need to contact the attorney to see how we can apply this to everyone (and at minimum those with the WHP). Higgins stated if these are in the Wellhead, then they would need a CUP, but if we want to apply the inspections to everyone, it would probably require an ordinance amendment. Maguire stated that since nothing is codified in the code for this, we would currently have to leave it as those just within the wellhead, until we implement it. It was stated we would have to look

at an annual fee with an annual inspection, since this would be taking us away from other regular duties, plus there would be administrative time involved.

It was discussed that currently if we see an issue where a contractor is improperly storing/handling salt, we could call the DNR. There was then discussion on WI Statutes Chapter Trans 277 (Highway Salt Storage Requirements), and how we could apply language from here in our code.

Cronin stated that we can't really answer #7 in the RFC until we have more legal answers from the attorney.

Cronin stated as far as #8, we need to verify with our attorney that it would be legal for us to do this, and he stated he is not in favor of charging for inspections. He stated we don't want to end up in a situation where we don't have any lawn care or snowplow services in our area due to our having too many requirements and/or it's too expensive.

Cronin stated that right now this can be a referral, and one is in a wellhead, we go the route of CUP, and if staff notices a nuisance or receive a complaint, we turn it over to the DNR or DOT. He suggested we find out from other communities, such as Wausau to see what they do.

10. Discussion of Cul-de-Sac Regulations [1:54:33 Zoom Meeting Recording]

Wodalski summarized the report in the packet, and described the situations where we have allowed the cul-de-sacs. We are trying to work with developers on how to best develop their land and want to be able to tell them what is and what isn't allowed, in order to help make the best use of the land. Wodalski explained areas where there were cul-de-sacs that are not opened up and connected to other roadways.

It was discussed that our current code reads "cul-de-sac streets designed to have one end permanently closed shall not be permitted within the Village, except in areas where the topography or environmental constraints exist, as determined by the Public Works Director", and this is the area where we are looking to add an exception.

There was discussion on the radius of the cul-de-sac bulbs and to make sure that fire departments have access to move and turn around in there. There was discussion on sidewalks, and how in a neighborhood with sidewalks and a cul-de-sac the sidewalk generally ends at the bulb.

It was stated to add the phrase "as determined by the Public Works Director and approved by the Plan Commission".

Higgins stated that we would have to make an amendment to Chapter 74, which requires a public hearing.

11. December 2025 Staff-Approved Certified Survey Maps and Site Plans. [2:07:40 Zoom Meeting Recording]

12. December 2025 Building Permits

Motion by Mumper, second by Jordan: to acknowledge agenda Items #11 and 12.

Yes Vote: 6 No Votes: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Voting: 1 Result: PASS

<u>Member (PC)</u>	<u>Voting</u>
Cronin, Steve {Chair}	YES
Zeyghami, Hooshang {Vice Chair}	YES
Diesen, Dave	-----
Guernndt, Gary	YES
Hoffman, Travis	YES

Jordan, Joe	YES
Mumper, Roy	YES

13. Planning & Development Department Project Update Report. [2:08:40 Zoom Meeting Recording]

Higgins referred to her report and also the Work Plan, which includes the Department’s 2026 goals, both within the meeting packet.

a. 2026 Planning & Development Work Plan

Cronin stated that he is a firm believer in setting lofty goals, as it pushes people to be better.

Motion by Mumper, second by Guerndt: to acknowledge agenda Item #13.

Yes Vote: 6 No Votes: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Voting: 1 Result: PASS

<u>Member (PC)</u>	<u>Voting</u>
Cronin, Steve {Chair}	YES
Zeyghami, Hooshang {Vice Chair}	YES
Diesen, Dave	-----
Guerndt, Gary	YES
Hoffman, Travis	YES
Jordan, Joe	YES
Mumper, Roy	YES

14. Announcements & Committee/Commissioner Remarks/Staff Referrals [2:14:18 Zoom Recording]

Some of the Plan Commission Members shared their appreciation for the commitment and hard work of the Village staff.

Higgins stated she emailed the draft comprehensive plan community survey to BOT and PC last Friday. She would like to receive any of their comments before we make it public, in early February. She stated that the results of that survey will be coming back for review and discussion at a joint meeting before the BOT and PC, later this year, with the updated Comprehensive Plan.

15. Next Regular Meeting Date – Monday, February 9, 2026, at 6pm.

14. Future Agenda Items or Staff Referrals

15. Adjourn Plan Commission

Motion by Guerndt, second by Hoffman: to adjourn at 8:21 p.m.

Steve Cronin, Village Trustee and Plan Commission Chair
 Jennifer Higgins, Director of Planning & Development
 Valerie Parker, Recording Secretary



Public Comment Form

This form must be completed and handed to the Clerk prior to the start of a meeting.

Please state which meeting this is for: Village Board of Trustees
 Other: Planning

Full Name: Jim Pansobanard Date: 1, 12, 26

Phone Number: (714) - 22 - 0540 Email Address: jpansob@ins320.com

Your Address: 5002 Arrow St

Do you wish to make an oral statement? Yes: No:

Meeting Date: 1/12/26 Agenda Item: *(if applicable)* _____

Comments: *(Please use backside of sheet if you need more room)* 3, 1, 7, 8, 9, 10
